

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/598,477	06/22/2000	Takayuki Nyu	NE-1005-US/KM	5516
21254	7590 01/19/2006		EXAMINER	
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			TSEGAYE, SABA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2662	TATERNOMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/598,477	NYU, TAKAYUKI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Saba Tsegaye	2662		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN INC. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-24 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the liderating on being objected to by the liderating of the drawing	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)  Notice  No	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/07/05. Claims 1-24 are pending. Claims 113 and 16-24 are allowed. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bastiani et al. (US 6,609,167) in view of Cote et al (US 5,870,556).

Bastiani discloses a host and device serial communication protocols and communication packet formats. Further, Bastiani discloses that the normal idle condition for the device receiver is looking for a packet from the host (claimed setting a state machine in a receive mode). A slave device sensing the end of the packet and wishing to send a response must wait 20 ns minimum (turnaround time) before a transmission. When a response is required from the device the line is turned around to the host and transmission initiated. The turnaround time is a time to allow the line to settle and to allow the host to enable its receiver (column 24, lines 19-27) column 42, lines 31-42). Further, Bastiani discloses that the ASP protocol uses a byte count to determine the end of the packet (as in claim 15) (column 24, lines 49-57).

However, Bastiani fails to disclose that the turnaround time is based upon the exchange of signals between the network node and remote node.

Cote teaches that each message includes timestamp information that is used to calculate components of a round-trip time. The turnaround time is determined by taking the difference

Art Unit: 2662

between the **time** indicated by the reply-sent indicator and the time indicated by the request-received indicator (column 7 lines 5-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bastiani's system to determine the turnaround time between nodes based upon the exchange of signals between the network node and the remote node, as taught by Cote. The suggestion/motivation is that Bastiani discloses that the turnaround time (20 ns) is a time to allow the line to settle and to allow the host to enable its receiver, therefore modifying the 20 ns of turnaround time to a turnaround time base upon the exchange of signals would provide more accurate and efficient system and subsequent collisions are avoided.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-13 and 16-24 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/07/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues (Remarks, page 12) that "none of the applied references teaches or suggests the features of the claimed invention including: 1) setting a state machine in an Idle mode for an interval that corresponds to a turnaround time for signals exchanged between a network node and a remote node (claim 14); and 2) setting a state machine in an Idle mode for an interval that corresponds to an incremented time count value that is based upon a start timing for a child notify single transmitted from a node to a bus and an end timing for apparent notify signal received by the node from the bus (claim 15)". Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant contention. Bastiani discloses in Fig. 3, exchanging signals between a host and a remote device.

Art Unit: 2662

The device responding to the packet with a handshake. A slave device (the remote node) sensing the end of the packet and wishing to send a response must wait predetermined turnaround time (20 ns minimum) before a transmission (an idle mode). The turnaround time is a time to allow the line to settle and to allow the host to enable its receiver. However, Bastiani fails to describe how the turnaround time is determined. In, Cote the turnaround time is determined by calculating components of a round-trip time. Furthermore, monitor software is able to determine a request time and a turnaround time by taking the difference between the time indicated by the reply-sent indicator and the time indicated by the request-received indicator. The combination of Bastiani and Cote reference is proper and therefore, the rejection is maintained.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/598,477 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST January 9, 2006

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER